<u>Foreclosure Fraud – Fighting Foreclosure Fraud by</u> <u>Sharing the Knowledge</u>

Type here and press enter



- Home
- About Us
- Advertise
- Contact
- Contribute
- Depositions
- Foreclosure Listings
- Message Board
- Securitization »
- <u>Videos</u>

COMPLAINT | COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS v. BANK OF AMERICA, JPMORGAN CHASE, CITIBANK, GMAC, WELLS FARGO, MERS, et al

Posted by <u>4closureFraud</u> on December 1, 2011 · <u>23 Comments</u>



Complaint below...

~

Five National Banks Sued by AG Coakley in Connection with Illegal Foreclosures and Loan Servicing

First Comprehensive Lawsuit to Address <u>Foreclosure</u> Crisis Seeks to Hold Banks Accountable For Illegal and Deceptive practices

Bank of America, Wells Fargo, JP Morgan Chase, Citi, and GMAC All Named As Defendants; Mortgage Electronic Registration System ("MERS") Also Sued

BOSTON – Five national banks have been sued in connection with their roles in allegedly pursuing illegal <u>foreclosures</u> on properties in Massachusetts as well as deceptive loan servicing, Attorney General Martha Coakley announced today. The lawsuit was filed today in Suffolk Superior Court against Bank of America, Wells Fargo, JP Morgan Chase, Citi, and GMAC. It also names Mortgage Electronic Registration System, Inc. ("MERS") and its parent, MERSCORP Inc., as defendants.

"The single most important thing we can do to return to a healthy economy is to address this foreclosure crisis," said AG Coakley. "Our suit alleges that the banks have charted a destructive path by cutting corners and rushing to foreclose on homeowners without following the rule of law. Our action today seeks real accountability for the banks illegal behavior and real relief for homeowners."

In the complaint, the Attorney General alleges these five entities engaged in unfair and deceptive trade practices in violation of Massachusetts' law by:

- Pervasive use of fraudulent documentation in the foreclosure process, including so-called "robo-signing";
- Foreclosing without holding the actual mortgage ("<u>Ibanez</u>" violations);
- Corrupting Massachusetts' land recording system through the use of MERS;
- Failing to uphold loan modification promises to Massachusetts homeowners.

USE OF FALSE DOCUMENTS TO EXPEDITE FORECLOSURES "ROBO-SIGNING":

According to the complaint, the banks used false documentation in the foreclosure process, including so-called "robo-signing", whereby bank personnel signed affidavits that were untrue, or not based on the signor's actual knowledge. An entity wishing to foreclose on a property must demonstrate it has filed an affidavit in compliance with Massachusetts law. By October 2010, the banks' flagrant disregard of affidavit and notary process requirements became widely known. Filings with various Registers of Deeds provided to the Attorney General's Office revealed the pervasive use of mortgage service employees to sign hundreds of affidavits and sworn statements without personal knowledge of the information contained in those affidavits. Evidence also suggests these practices were not confined to the foreclosure process, but also used in the assignment, transfer and modification of mortgages secured by property in Massachusetts.

FORECLOSING WITHOUT LEGAL AUTHORITY "IBANEZ VIOLATIONS":

Second, these five entities participated in unlawful foreclosures when they commenced foreclosures on mortgages where they were not the holders of those mortgages. The Supreme Judicial Court (SJC), in *Commonwealth v Ibanez*, recently upheld Massachusetts law and stated that "only the present holder of a mortgage is authorized to foreclose on the mortgaged property." The complaint alleges that these entities ignored this fundamental legal mandate and proceeded to foreclosure even though they did not hold the mortgage, and thus had no legal authority to conduct the foreclosure. The banks' failure to obtain a valid assignment of the mortgage prior to foreclosure has adversely impacted titles to hundreds, if not thousands, of properties in the Commonwealth. The complaint alleges that the banks falsely claimed to be the holder of a mortgage in several foreclosure documents even though they failed to obtain a valid assignment of the mortgage.

UNDERMINING PUBLIC RECORDS "MERS":

Third, the complaint alleges that these banks have undermined our public land record system through the use of MERS, a private electronic registry system. According to the complaint, the creation and use of MERS was adopted by these defendants primarily to avoid land registration and recording requirements, including payment of recording and registration fees, and to facilitate sales of mortgage loans. The use of MERS has resulted in a lack of transparency as to the entities that have the legal authority to enforce mortgages, and unfairly conceals from borrowers the true identity of the holder of the debt.

Since 1997, more than 63 million home loans have been registered on the MERS System, accounting for more than 60 percent of all newly-originated mortgage loans. The complaint also alleges that through the use of the MERS system, the banks unlawfully failed to register assignments of mortgages and transfers of the beneficial interests in mortgages.

MISREPRESENTING LOAN MODIFICATION PROGRAMS:

Finally, the complaint alleges the banks deceived and misrepresented to borrowers the process, requirements, and availability of loan modifications. The banks publically claimed to be engaged in widespread loan modifications aimed at preserving home ownership and avoiding unnecessary foreclosures. Through the National Homeownership Retention Program, which commenced on November 6, 2008, these banks represented that they would work with borrowers to help them avoid unnecessary foreclosures by reducing monthly mortgage payments to affordable and sustainable levels. The complaint alleges these banks misled borrowers about their eligibility for this program and the amount of relief available, failed to achieve a significant level of modifications, and often strung along borrowers for months in trial modifications that were ultimately rejected.

The AG's lawsuit seeks civil penalties, restitution for harm to borrowers and compensation for registration fees that were avoided. The lawsuit also seeks to hold the banks accountable through permanent injunctive relief to provide a solution for prior unlawful foreclosures and to require that the banks, going forward, register assignments and other documents in accordance with Massachusetts law.

The lawsuit follows more than a year of negotiations with the banks over a 50-state settlement focused around the issues of fraudulent documents, including "robo-signing." AG Coakley had made clear that she would not sign on to an agreement with the banks if it included broad liability release regarding MERS and other issues or if she did not believe the banks had come to the table with an offer in the best interest of Massachusetts.

AG Coakley's office has been a national leader in holding banks and investment giants accountable for their roles in the economic crisis. AG Coakley has obtained recoveries from Morgan Stanley, Goldman Sachs, Royal Bank of Scotland, Countrywide, Fremont Investment & Loan, Option One, and others on behalf of Massachusetts homeowners. As a result of these actions, her office has recovered more than \$600 million in relief for investors and borrowers, helped keep more than 25,400 people in their homes, and returned nearly \$60 million in taxpayer funds back to the Commonwealth.

More information about AG Coakley's work during the lending crisis can be <u>found here</u> .

The lawsuit is being handled by Attorney General Martha Coakley's Consumer Protection Division, including Assistant Attorneys General Amber Villa, John Stephan, Sara Cable, and Justin Lowe; Acting Division Chief David Monahan; Chris Barry-Smith, Chief of the Public Protection & Advocacy Bureau and Stephanie Kahn, Deputy Chief of the Public Protection & Advocacy Bureau.

####################

SOURCE: http://www.mass.gov/ago/

Enjoy!

~

4closureFraud.org

~