

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>SUNTRUST MORTGAGE, INC.,</p> <p>Defendant.</p>	<p><b>CASE NO. 1:14-cv-01028-RMC</b></p> <p><b>JOINT REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO MINUTE ORDER</b></p>
---	---

On July 11, 2014, this Court entered a Minute Order requiring the parties to file a Notice no later than July 23, 2014, regarding the meaning of certain enforcement related provisions in the proposed SunTrust Consent Judgment. The Court further stated that if all parties agree, the Court would accept a single Notice, but if they do not agree, the Court would accept three filings: one from the Federal Government, one from the States (including D.C.) and one from SunTrust. For the following reasons, the States respectfully request an extension of four weeks to file the required Notice.

1. As the Court is aware, the proposed Consent Judgment was signed by forty-nine state Attorneys General and the Attorney General for the District of Columbia (the “States”).

2. The Court’s Minute Order contemplates that the States will file a single Notice. Creating a document that will be acceptable to 50 sovereign entities presents significant logistical challenges and necessarily takes a certain amount of time.

3. Further complicating matters is the fact that this proposed settlement includes multiple federal agencies. Thus, not only must 49 states and the District of Columbia agree upon the language and substance of a Notice, but the States must also determine whether they are able to file

jointly with the United States Department of Justice, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

4. Assuming the state and federal government Plaintiffs arrive at a joint Notice, they must also discuss the issue with the Defendant, SunTrust Mortgage Inc., in order to determine whether there is agreement among all the parties, thereby allowing a single Notice to be filed with the Court.

5. In short, the multitude of parties to the proposed Consent Judgment presents significant logistical challenges and the States do not believe that they can accurately represent their position (or positions) by the Court's July 23, 2014 deadline.

6. Given the importance of the questions raised by the Court's Minute Order, the States respectfully request an extension of four weeks to file a Notice.

Respectfully submitted,

By:     /s/ Patrick Madigan      
PATRICK MADIGAN  
Assistant Attorney General  
Iowa Attorney General's Office  
1305 East Walnut Street  
Des Moines, IA 50319  
Telephone: (515) 281-5926  
Facsimile: (515) 281-6771  
E-mail: patrick.madigan@iowa.gov  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF  
STATE OF IOWA

Original filed.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 18, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all parties of record.

By: /s/ Patrick Madigan